Intercarrier Compensation Panel Record Request

Request: Please provide a corrected version of Verizon Exhibit 27, Verizon VA's Revised Rebuttal Testimony on Business Process Mediation Issues.

Response: A copy is attached. Verizon filed this version of this testimony with the Commission and served it on all parties on October 1.

Verizon VA Exhibit 27 (corrected)

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
Petition of WorldCom, Inc. Pursuant)	
to Section 252(e)(5) of the)	
Communications Act for Expedited)	
Preemption of the Jurisdiction of the)	CC Docket No. 00-218
Virginia State Corporation Commission)	
Regarding Interconnection Disputes)	
with Verizon Virginia Inc., and for)	
Expedited Arbitration)	
)	
In the Matter of)	CC Docket No. 00-249
Petition of Cox Virginia Telecom, Inc.)	
)	
In the Matter of)	CC Docket No. 00-251
Petition of AT&T Communications of)	
Virginia Inc., etc.)	

VERIZON VA'S REVISED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY ON MEDIATION ISSUES¹ (CATEGORIES I AND III THROUGH VII)

BUSINESS PROCESS

KAY SCHNEIDER
MARIANN C. TRIANO
MARYELLEN LANGSTINE
JONATHAN B. SMITH
WILLIAM H. GREEN

October 1, 2001

¹ This testimony, originally filed on September 5 and revised on September 20, is revised again to add Jonathan Smith to the witness panel, to delete Warren Geller from that panel, and to make substantive corrections to rebuttal testimony regarding Issue IV-74.

1		TABLE OF CONTENTS
2		
3	I.	INTRODUCTION
5	II.	Issue IV-56: NCTDE2
6	III.	ISSUE IV-74: INTERIM, STANDARD AND COLLOCATION BILLING 7
7	IV.	ISSUE IV-79: 911 AND E911
8		
9		

1 2		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
3	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, YOUR POSITION WITH VERIZON AND
4		YOUR BUSINESS ADDRESS.
5	A.	My name is Kay Schneider. I am employed by Verizon as a Specialist – Systems
6		Support. My business address is 600 Hidden Ridge, Irving, TX.
7		My name is Maryellen Langstine. Since September 1, 2000, I have served as
8		Director Competitive Local Exchange Carrier ("CLEC") Customer Support. My
9		business address is 741 Zeckendorf Boulevard, Garden City, New York.
10		My name is Mariann Triano. I am employed by Verizon as a Specialist Systems
11		Support. My business address is 650 Park Avenue, East Orange, New Jersey. My
12		educational and telecommunications experience is set forth on Exhibit BP-1-a.
13		My name is Jonathan Smith. I am employed by Verizon Services Corp as
14		Executive Director Local Interconnection Billing and 271 Support. My business
15		address is 500 Summit Lake Drive, Valhalla, New York.
16		My name is William H. Green. I am employed by Verizon Services Group as
17		Senior Specialist E-911 Wholesale Product Manager. My business address is
18		1095 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York.
19		

Q. ARE YOU THE SAME WITNESSES WHO FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY
ON BUSINESS PROCESS MEDIATION ISSUES ON JULY 31, 2001?

1	A.	Yes, except that Mariann Triano has been added to the panel.
2		
3	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
4	A.	The purpose of our testimony is rebut WorldCom's positions with regard to
5		Business Process issues that had been on the mediation track, but remain
6		unresolved. Specifically, those are Issue IV-56, which concerns Verizon VA's
7		participation in the National Consumers Telecommunications Data Exchange
8		("NCTDE"); Issue IV-74, which concerns billing and billing procedures; and
9		Issue IV-79, which concerns 911 service.
10		
11		II. <u>ISSUE IV-56: NCTDE</u>
12	Q.	HAVE YOU READ THE TESTIMONY OF WORLDCOM WITNESS
13		SHERRY LICHTENBERG?
14	A.	Yes.
15		
16	Q.	WHAT ISSUE REMAINS BETWEEN THE PARTIES?
17	A.	There are really two issues here. First, WorldCom seeks to have the Commission
18		require Verizon VA to participate in the NCTDE, allegedly so that it could obtain
9		Verizon VA's customer payment history. In the alternative, WorldCom seeks
20		access to Verizon VA's customer payment history through some other
21		unproposed means.

Q. IS WORLDCOM'S PROPOSED LANGUAGE CONSISTENT WITH ITS

STATED OBJECTIVE?

A. No. According to WorldCom Witness Lichtenberg, WorldCom seeks to have the
Commission require that Verizon VA participate in the NCTDE so that
WorldCom will have "equal access" to Verizon VA's payment history
information. WorldCom, however, operates under the mistaken premise that the

8

9

7

1

2

Q. DOES THE NCTDE RETAIN CUSTOMER PAYMENT HISTORY?

NCTDE retains customer payment history.

A. No, customer payment history is not retained in the NCTDE. Match reports that 10 are returned from the NCTDE show only the original balance and current balance 11 12 of the customer. Customer payment history is never even sent to the NCTDE. Contrary to what WorldCom's proposed language describes, the NCTDE does not 13 contain delinquency information on current accounts (cf. § 2.1.4.1.5 of 14 WorldCom's proposed interconnection agreement), all unpaid closed accounts 15 16 that have been submitted to the NCTDE are unpaid final accounts; nor does the NCTDE contain any information about the length of time the customer had 17 service with its prior local or intraLATA toll provider (cf. § 2.1.4.1.6 of 18 WorldCom's proposed interconnection agreement). Connect and disconnect dates 19 of local service unpaid closed accounts are submitted when the account is sent to 20 the NCTDE. 21

Q. IF CUSTOMER PAYMENT HISTORY IS NOT RETAINED IN THE NCTDE, PLEASE DESCRIBE WHAT THE NCTDE DOES RETAIN.

The NCTDE is a database maintained by Equifax that is shared by multiple telecommunications companies including Long Distance carriers and some CLECs and ILECs. Based on information submitted by carriers from several states, the NCTDE attempts to match a member's new service connection orders with outstanding unpaid final accounts from any of the other members and helps to locate former customers whose service was terminated with an unpaid balance. All matches are "blind", meaning that the match is returned only as "local" or "long distance", etc. The initial report from a member to the NCTDE includes the customer's full name, social security number, other identification information, address, service date, previous address, service disconnect date, disconnect reason, report date (which is not necessarily the date the last payment was received), and the current amount due. Members of the NCTDE send an update only when a payment is received and this payment record overlays the existing record. When a new payment or update is submitted to the NCTDE, it is treated as an "addition" or "subtraction" from the original balance. The system recalculates the current balance amount and posts the new current balance. No information is submitted that includes payment history when the account was active or whether the account was an "on-time" customer or a "late-paying" customer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A.

Q. WOULD VERIZON VA BENEFIT FROM PARTICIPATION IN THE

NCTDE?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

П

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A.

No. In fact, in those former GTE territories where Verizon does participate in the NCTDE, Verizon terminated its membership on August 17, 2001. As described in our Direct Testimony filed on August 17, Verizon spent a considerable amount of money to participate in the NCTDE in its former GTE service territories with no reciprocal benefit. Furthermore, should Verizon VA be required to participate in the NCTDE, it would be forced to pay for the system design and infrastructure necessary to participate in this data exchange with no means to recover this expense. Finally, Verizon VA's legal obligations would prohibit it from acting upon the information in the same manner that CLECs can. Verizon VA cannot deny service to a new customer based on a match with a long distance carrier or CLEC that it receives from the NCTDE. Verizon VA can only require a new customer to pay regulated charges when the match leads back to Verizon VA. Verizon VA does not do an on-line check to NCTDE at the time the order is placed. It is only batch after the service is already installed. Long distance carriers have options available when they pull a match with another member, such as requesting a deposit or requiring a customer to put monthly payments on a credit card.

ı	Q.	WORLDCOM PROPOSES THAT, IN THE EVENT THE COMMISSION
2		DECLINES TO ORDER VERIZON VA TO PARTICIPATE IN THE
3		NCTDE, VERIZON VA SHOULD BE DIRECTED "TO MAKE THE
4		PAYMENT HISTORY SECTION OF THE CUSTOMER'S CSR
5		AVAILABLE AS PART OF THE PRE-ORDER PROCESS."
6		WORLDCOM WITNESS LICHTENBERG, AT 9. SHOULD VERIZON
7		VA BE REQUIRED TO MAKE THIS INFORMATION AVAILABLE?
8	A.	No. WorldCom seeks to require Verizon VA to provide payment history on
9		current and final accounts to assist it in determining the credit-worthiness of its
10		new customers. Verizon VA strongly opposes this proposal. First, WorldCom
11		may use the same methods that all business use to obtain credit information about
12		potential customers. Indeed, WorldCom presumably has such information
13		regarding its IXC customers. Second, under WorldCom's proposal, Verizon VA
14		would be required to furnish credit information to an outside company
15		(WorldCom), effectively acting like a credit bureau subject to the requirements of
16		the Fair Credit Reporting Act. In addition, Verizon VA might then be required to
17		provide the same service to all other carriers. There is absolutely no basis for
18		WorldCom's demand that Verizon VA become its credit reporting agency for
19		free. Such an absurd result was never contemplated by Congress or the
20		Commission. Rather than saddle ILECs with an unintended burden of reporting
21		consumer credit information, WorldCom may obtain such information from
22		companies like Equifax, just as Verizon VA does.

III. ISSUE IV-74: INTERIM, STANDARD AND COLLOCATION BILLING

2	Q.	HAVE YOU READ THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF SHERRY
3		LICHTENBERG ON BEHALF OF WORLDCOM?
4	A.	Yes.
5		
6	Q.	MS. LICHTENBERG ACCUSES VERIZON VA OF WITHHOLDING
7		USEFUL BILLING INFORMATION IN ORDER TO HINDER
8		WORLDCOM'S ENTRY INTO THE MARKETPLACE. CAN YOU
9		COMMENT ON THAT?
10	A.	That accusation is unfounded. What Ms. Lichtenberg conveniently ignores is the
11		fact that, by virtue of interconnection and resale, Verizon VA routinely provides
12		billing information to a myriad of CLECs, IXCs and other customers. As
13		explained in its initial answer to this issue, it would be extraordinarily
14		burdensome - if not impossible - for Verizon VA to maintain unique billing
15		procedures for each customer. Therefore, Verizon VA has in place a uniform set
16		of billing procedures that provide all CLECs with the information WorldCom
17		seeks. It seems fairly telling that only WorldCom has suggested that these
18		procedures are somehow deficient or unfair.
19		
20	Q.	ACCORDING TO MS. LICHTENBERG, THOSE BILLING
21		PROCEDURES ARE UNILATERAL PROMULGATIONS OF VERIZON
22		VA THAT CAN BE CHANGED AT ANY TIME WITHOUT CLEC INPUT

23

IS THAT RIGHT?

1 A. No. Verizon VA's billing procedures are found in the CLEC Handbook and
2 Customer Support Website. Any change to those procedures would be dealt with
3 via the Change Management Process, where all interested parties have the
4 opportunity to discuss their respective points of view.

Q. PLEASE COMMENT ON MS. LICHTENBERG'S STATEMENT THAT
 "BILLING SHOULD BE BASED ON BOS-BDT, WHICH IS AN
 INDUSTRY STANDARD ELECTRONIC METHOD OF ENCODING
 BILLING INFORMATION."

BILLING INFORMATION.10 A. As stated on its website, Verizo

As stated on its website, Verizon VA will provide an electronic bill in the format chosen by the CLEC from a list of available options. Bills for access services and certain wholesale services (IOF, Collocation) billed from the CABS system are currently available in BOS BDT format from Verizon VA. In addition, Verizon VA is currently conducting a trial of BOS BDT formatted bills from the expressTRAK system for other wholesale services (resale, UNE)..

Q. DOES VERIZON VA AGREE THAT THE ELECTRONIC BILL SHOULD BE DEEMED THE "BILL OF RECORD?"

The "bill of record" for Verizon VA is the paper bill. After BOS BDT formatted
bills produced by the expressTRAK system have been thoroughly reviewed via its
quality assurance process, Verizon VA will offer CLECs the option to designate
BOS BDT formatted bills as their bill of record. Notice of effective dates for this
election will be made through Change Management.

1	Q.	HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE REVISED CONTRACT LANGUAGE
2		PROPOSED BY WORLDCOM THROUGH MS. LICHTENBERG'S
3		TESTIMONY?
4	A.	Yes.
5		
6	Q.	PLEASE COMMENT ON THAT PROPOSED LANGUAGE.
7	A.	As a general proposition, Verizon VA simply cannot negotiate unique billing
8		practices with each CLEC. Trying to do so would create an unmanageable
9		situation for Verizon VA and would, inevitably, lead to confusion and breakdown
10		adversely affecting all CLECs. A uniform set of billing procedures, open to
11		discussion and evolution via the Change Management Process, is in everyone's
12		best interests.
13		
14		If, however, the Commission determines that Verizon VA must negotiate specific
15		billing procedures with WorldCom, then Verizon VA proposes the following
16		modifications to WorldCom's proposed § 3.1.2. Most of these proposed changes
17		are self-explanatory. With regard to § 3.1.4, Verizon VA's system does not allow
18		it to bill separately for capital costs.
19		
20		3.1.2 Standard Billing
21		3.1.2.1 The providing Party will bill services in accordance with this
22		Section [3] and at the rates set forth in Attachment I. The providing Party
23		will use commercially reasonable efforts to provide accurate and auditable

electronic bills and to format its electronic bills in accordance with 1 2 national industry standard specifications and Verizon technical documentation, as provided through Change Management, and consistent 3 4 with other Verizon commitments, including but not limited to the Plan of Record submitted in accordance with Section VI of Appendix D to the 5 Commission's Merger Order. In Re Application of GTE Corporation, 6 Transferor, and Bell Atlantic Corporation, Transferee, CC Docket No. 98-7 184 (released June 16, 2000). Where the providing Party is unable to 8 provide an electronic bill, the paper bill will be the "Bill of Record". 9 3.1.2.2 The providing Party will bill the purchasing Party on a monthly 10 basis under this Agreement. These monthly bills will include all 11 appropriate charges, credits and adjustments for the services that were 12 ordered, established, utilized, discontinued or performed during the 13 relevant billing period. The relevant billing period and whether services 14 are billed in arrears or in advance shall be based upon the type of service, 15 in accordance with any applicable tariff or, in the absence of a tariff, in 16 accordance with the interconnection agreement. 17 3.1.2.3 The providing Party will include the bill date on each invoice 18 transmitted to the purchasing Party. Unless otherwise provided in the 19 applicable tariff, the payment due date (as described in this Attachment) 20 21 shall be thirty (30) calendar days after the Bill Date. The providing Party 22 will transmit all invoices within ten (10) business days after the Bill Date. 23 Any invoice transmitted on a Saturday, Sunday or a day designated as a

1	holiday by the Parties' bill processing departments will be deemed
2	transmitted on the next business day. If the providing Party fails to
3	transmit an invoice within the time period specified above, the payment
4	due date for that invoice will be extended by the number of days it is late.
5	3.1.2.4 The providing Party will use the same account identification
6	numbers each month, unless it provides the purchasing Party with ten (10)
7	days advance written notice of any change. If either Party requests an
8	additional copy(ies) of a bill, such Party shall pay the other Party a
9	reasonable fee per additional bill copy, unless such copy was requested
10	due to an error or omission of the providing Party.
11	3.1.2.5 Except as otherwise specified in this Agreement, each Party shall
12	be responsible for (i) all costs and expenses it incurs in complying with its
13	obligations under this Agreement; and (ii) the development, modification,
14	technical installation and maintenance of any systems or other
15	infrastructure which it requires to comply with and to continue complying
16	with its responsibilities and obligations under this Agreement.
17	3.1.2.6 The providing Party and purchasing Party will identify a contact
18	person for the handling of any questions or problems that may arise during
19	the implementation and performance of the terms and conditions of this
20	Attachment.
21	3.1.4 Collocation
22	3.1.4.1 Verizon agrees to identify to MCIm any nonrecurring costs
23	incurred under this Agreement associated with building the collocation

1		"cage." Verizon will identify these nonrecurring costs in the OCC section
2		of the Collocation bill with specific USOCs. Verizon will bill all other
3		recurring Collocation rates to MCIm in accordance with this Section [3].
4		
5		IV. <u>ISSUE IV-79: 911 AND E911</u>
6	Q.	HAVE YOU READ THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ARIEL W. SIGUA ON
7		BEHALF OF WORLDCOM?
8	A.	Yes.
9		
10	Q.	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. SIGUA THAT THE ONLY REMAINING
11		DISPUTE INVOLVING 911 TRUNKING OR SERVICE IS WHAT HE
12		DESCRIBES AS THE "PSAP ISSUE?"?
13	A.	Yes. It is my understanding that WorldCom has accepted the 911 Attachment
14		proposed by Verizon VA, subject only to resolution of the PSAP issue.
15		
16	Q.	WHAT IS VERIZON VA'S POSITION ON THAT ISSUE?
17	A.	WorldCom states that "Getting them (the ten digit numbers) directly from
18		Verizon is the easiest most efficient way for us (WorldCom) to obtain them." See
19		Sigua testimony at p. 4. While asking Verizon may be the easiest way for
20		WorldCom to obtain these numbers, it is not the most efficient or accurate way to
21		determine the Public Safety Answering Point's ("PSAP's") Alternate Routing
22		Scheme ("ARS"). Nor is the provision of these numbers by Verizon VA to other
23		CLECs authorized by the E-9-1-1 governing bodies of certain states.

The governing body that controls the PSAP is responsible for developing an ARS that meets the needs of its particular jurisdiction. The purpose of the ARS is to insure that there are no individual points of failure. In the event of a trunk failure, some PSAPs use alternate routing numbers to redirect calls within the PSAP. ARS numbers are assigned by PSAP governing bodies to Local Telecommunications Carriers. The carriers, in turn, code ARS numbers into their switches to use in the event of a trunk failure. In many cases, the number(s) are assigned based on the anticipated call volumes determined by traffic studies done at the PSAP. Therefore, a number assigned to Verizon VA may not be the same number that is assigned to a particular CLEC. Privacy is also an issue, since many of the ARS numbers are non-published.

In addition, not all CLECs are assigned a 10 digit ARS number. In the event of a trunk failure, they route calls to another PSAP or to Operator Services. Finally, Verizon VA cannot agree to WorldCom's proposed language because it could then be adopted in another jurisdiction, where the Verizon company could not adhere to it. In some jurisdictions, the PSAP governing bodies do not allow Verizon to release the 10 digit ARS numbers. For instance, in Rhode Island the governing body does not permit Verizon to provide the number to CLECs because it screens each CLEC to ensure that E 9-1-1 is not circumvented by using the 10 digit number. CLECs sometimes do so to avoid the cost of developing the required E 9-1-1 architecture. This architecture is, nonetheless, necessary to ensure the public safety. Similarly, in New York City, Verizon is forbidden from

using the 10 digit number for its Operator Services and is also forbidden from releasing this information to CLECs.

Implementation of the proper ARS is indeed a public safety issue. It is imperative that WorldCom understand the Alternate Routing Scheme in each jurisdiction in which it operates. WorldCom should determine, during its initial contact with the PSAP, if a 10 digit number should be used in the case of a trunk failure and what number should be used. If there is no 10 digit number used in a particular jurisdiction, WorldCom should consult with the PSAP governing body to determine WorldCom's role in that jurisdiction's ARS plan (*i.e.*, is there an alternate PSAP, should the calls be routed to Operator Services, etc.). WorldCom should then build and test all components of its E 9-1-1 architecture with the PSAP, in accordance with the applicable ARS.

O. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

16 A. Yes.

Declaration of Kay Schneider I declare under penalty of perjury that I have reviewed the foregoing panel testimony and that those sections to which I testified are true and correct. Executed this 17th day of August, 2001. ____//ss//____ Kay Schneider

Declaration of Mariann C. Triano I declare under penalty of perjury that I have reviewed the foregoing panel testimony and that those sections to which I testified are true and correct. Executed this 5th day of September, 2001. _\\s____ Mariann C. Triano

Declaration of Maryellen T. Langstine

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have reviewed	d the foregoing panel testimony and
that those sections to which I testified are true and con	rrect.

Executed this 17th day of August, 2001.

_____//ss//_____ Maryellen T. Langstine

Declaration of Jonathan B. Smith I declare under penalty of perjury that I have reviewed the foregoing panel testimony and that those sections to which I testified are true and correct. Executed this 1st day of October, 2001. __//ss//____ Jonathan B. Smith

Declaration of William H. Green

i deciate under penalty of perjury that I hav	re reviewed the foregoing panel testimony and
that those sections to which I testified are tr	rue and correct.
Executed this 17 th day of August, 2001.	
	//ss//
	William H. Green

CURRICULUM VITAE FOR MARIANN C. TRIANO

Ms. Triano has twenty-nine years of experience with Verizon. She has held various staff positions in service orders, billing and live and final collection systems. In her current position, Specialist-- Systems Support, she is responsible for support of the live collection system used in the former Bell Atlantic territory.

Intercarrier Compensation Panel Record Request

Request: Please provide a copy of Verizon's counter-proposal to WorldCom's revised language concerning Issue IV-74 (Billing).

Response: As explained at the hearing, on October 12, WorldCom served Verizon with revised billing language in an effort to resolve Issue IV-74. WorldCom entered that proposal into evidence as WorldCom Exhibit 51. At that point, Verizon reserved the right to enter as evidence its counter-proposal to the WorldCom language. That counter-proposal, served on WorldCom on October 2, is attached hereto as Verizon Exhibit 82A.

J Standard Billing
[] The providing Party will bill services in accordance with this Section [_] and at the rates set forth in the Pricing Attachment. Consistent with the results of the operational trial described below, the providing Party will use commercially reasonable efforts to provide accurate and auditable electronic bills for wholesale services and to format its electronic bills in accordance with national industry standard specifications, as appropriate subject to Verizon's published Differences List As part of an operations trial for the state of Virginia beginning on or prior to the Effective Date of this Agreement, subject to MCIm's conversion to Express Track, and continuing until such time as Verizon designates through Change Management, the BOS-BDT as available for election as the Bill of Record in Virginal, Verizon agrees to provide MCIm with a BOS-BDT formatted electronic bill at no charge for UNE-P services and Network Elements provided under this Agreement. During the duration of this trial, the BOS-BDT bill will serve as the instrument from which MCIm will audit and pay for UNE-P services and Network Elements provided under this Agreement, including the handling of billing disputes. MCIm and Verizon agree to work together to identify and correct errors in the content and format of the BOS-BDT bill format and to share the information necessary to ensure that the final product (i.e., a BOS-BDT formatted bill) is complete, accurate, and meets OBF standards subject to Verizon's published Differences List. Verizon agrees to make the BOS-BDT formatted bill the bill of record for MCIm, at the same time Verizon designates the BOS-BDT as available for election as the bill of record in Virginia through Change Management. Verizon will jurisdictionalize charges in the BOS-BDT bills to the extent reasonably possible.
[] The providing Party will bill the purchasing Party on a monthly basis under this Agreement. These monthly bills will include all appropriate charges, credits and adjustments for the services that were ordered, established, utilized, discontinued or performed during the relevant billing period.
[] The providing Party and the purchasing Party will work jointly to establish billing dates ("Bill Date") for each purchasing Party account within the state. The providing Party will include the Bill Date on each invoice transmitted to the purchasing Party. The providing Party will transmit all invoices within ten (10) business days after the Bill Date. Any invoice transmitted on a Saturday, Sunday or a day designated as a holiday by the Parties' bill processing departments will be deemed transmitted on the next business day. Except as otherwise provided in this Agreement, payment of amounts billed for Services provided under this Agreement, whether billed on a monthly basis or as otherwise provided in this Agreement, shall be due, in immediately available U.S. funds, thirty (30) calendar days after the Bill Date (the "payment due date"). If the providing Party fails to transmit an invoice within the time period specified above, the payment due date for that invoice will be extended by the number of days it is late.
[] The providing Party will use the same account identification numbers each month, unless it provides the purchasing Party with ten (10) days advance written notice

	of any change. If either Party requests an additional copy(ies) of a bill, such Party shall pay the other Party a reasonable fee per additional bill copy, unless such copy was requested due to an error or omission of the providing Party.
	[] Except as otherwise specified in this Agreement or provided for under Applicable Law, each Party shall be responsible for (i) all costs and expenses it incurs in complying with its obligations under this Agreement; and (ii) the development, modification, technical installation and maintenance of any systems or other infrastructure which it requires to comply with and to continue complying with its responsibilities and obligations under this Agreement.
	[] The providing Party and purchasing Party will identify a contact person for the handling of any questions or problems that may arise during the implementation and performance of the billing for services under this Attachment.
[_] Collocation
	[] Verizon agrees to provide USOC information that will allow MCIm to identify nonrecurring costs associated with building collocation "cages" incurred under this Agreement. Verizon will identify the Collocation nonrecurring costs in the OCC section of the Collocation bill with specific USOCs.